Appl. No. Filed

08/942,402

October 1, 1997

a plurality of microcontrollers connected to the sensors and the computer.

REMARKS

Discussion of Objection to the Specification

In paragraph 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner objected to the specification on the grounds that substitute pages 10-12, submitted with the previous amendment, are inconsistent with the subject matter on page 9. The Examiner has requested a correction. Applicant acknowledges that a correction is necessary because Applicant inadvertently submitted the wrong substitute pages. By this paper Applicant resubmits substitute pages 10-12, and reiterates that the amendments therein do not add any new matter.

Discussion of Claim Amendments

In the Office Action Summary, the Examiner indicated that Claims 1-19 and 21 were allowed. Applicant has amended Claim 20 to include the allowable features of Claim 21, which is by this paper now canceled. Consequently, Applicant submits that Claim 20 and its dependent Claims 22-28 are now in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw his rejection of Claims 20 and 22-28 and allow those claims.

Summary

Applicant has endeavored to address all of the Examiner's concerns as expressed in the previous Office Action. Accordingly, amendments to the claims and the reasons therefor are presented above. Any claim amendments which are not specifically discussed in the above remarks are made in order to improve the clarity of the claim language, to correct grammatical mistakes or ambiguities, and to otherwise improve the capacity of the claims to particularly and distinctly point out the invention to those of skill in the art. In light of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections is specifically